Approved For Release 2009/10/26: CIA-RDP89B00708R000500140020-8

Communication (APDC)

Colonel F. E. Offder

Ballistic Missile Division (APDC)

NRO Review Completed.

Dear Fritz:

possible suggestions, advice, and ideas that I can to help you make (what is becoming increasingly evident, a large number of presentations on SAMOS.

Of course, SAMOS-post U-2 and this alone poses unique problems and opportunity

In my own thinking I have found it always mandatory series important to consider the audience. Your audience from here on in is going to be composed of groups who are pretty worried who know now see SAMOS standing as perhaps the last best hope, who have come to depend on SAMOS and who know want to be assured and reassured that the program is sound though imaginative, urgent though well organized, and that is proceeding with haste although deliberately.

Again, if I were sitting in any one of your potential audiences from the Air Council on up, I would like to hear you say that you appreciate the novel, in fact, unique situation which this program and this nation now finds that itself in, and me you are taking an extremely hardnosed look at the entire program. I would like to hear that you have set up a team within the major contractors whose job will be to avoid writing reports, avoid making fancy where and playing that con game, and whose main job will be to be nasty bestards looking intensely at what they themselves are doing elsewhere in the company. This group will operate on the critericathat first it is important to make the whole system work and then one can afford the luxury of improvements. I would like to hear

 $\binom{2}{3}$

that intense preparations are made to get something upon which better results can be built and derived. I would like to hear you describe a philosophy similar to that I used in B-166 (the paper I gave Colonel King).

Now this is all general as hell. What would I like to hear you say specifically. I think that I would like to hear you say that you are considering the present existing program only as the base structure upon which our ideas generally can be viewed hopefully with profit. For example, without abandoning anything now doing, I would like to hear you say that you are looking strong at full television systems similar to Tixes TIROS that without abandoning the current attempts to recover the DISCOVERER program, you are making a serious and new study of the entire system of recovery looking hard with hord eyes at every

single feature thereof And with prespect to the current programmed E Systems you are looking hard at ways to simplify them and get them spaceborne at an early date, etc., etc., etc. Pris then becomes the basis for your immediate, essentially crash efforts to vitalize and pin down all the loose ends of the I am sure that if and only if serve up an appetizer with respect to the current program or with respect to what you are going to do immediately we will be able to prepare a more leasurely dish of items for the interim future, say three or four years off. Everybody's interested in what you are going to do three or four years from now but if and only if they are reasonably assured that something else is going to happen sooner. In this period one can begin to think and plan for other sensors - radar, IR, perhaps for tricky and sophisticated bandwidth compression systems for really sophisticated ferret systems which useful for technical intelligence, etc. Ryckhexxxx

By the way what must precede all this discussion is a clear coherent statement of intelligence requirements that are likely to evolve in this decade of the 60's. As I indicated in our meetings you are simply not going to get these requirements from current operational organizations who are still busy solving Approved For Release 2009/10/26: CIA-RDP89B00708R000500140020-8 s, and



not even dreaming of tomorrow's problems. The more sensible and rational pitch the measure that the direction of emphasizing technical that the direction of emphasizing technical argeting intelligence requirements. Toggiting is important if you want to have a war. Technical intelligence is important is you want to keep the peace. This all above and beyond a whole host of arguments we could get in to on targeting, its role, and whether we are talking about a first strike or second strike, etc., but I'm sure you would not want me to go into these things at this point.

As you will hear from Charlie Heffern who is scheduled to deliver you this (hopefully useful) letter, we have activated a substantial group at MAND from whom I hope something good for the program, and hence for all of us, will result. I hope to call you from Washington for a chat later this week.

Sincerely,